Postal Regulatory Commission Submitted 3/16/2012 2:42:25 PM Filing ID: 81236 Accepted 3/16/2012

BEFORE THE POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

MAIL PROCESSING NETWORK RATIONALIZATION SERVICE CHANGES, 2011

Docket No. N2012-1

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE RESPONSE TO NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF PRESORT MAILERS INTERROGATORY (NAPM/USPS-T4—5(e))

(March 16, 2012)

The United States Postal Service hereby files its responses to the above-listed interrogatory of the National Association of Presort Mailers, dated February 17, 2012. The interrogatory has been redirected from witness Neri to the Postal Service for response. The interrogatory is stated verbatim and followed by the response.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Anthony F. Alverno Chief Counsel Global Business & Service Development

Caroline R. Brownlie

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20260 (202) 268-3010; Fax -5287 March 16, 2012

INSTITUTIONAL RESPONSE OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE TO NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF PRESORT MAILERS INTERROGATORY REDIRECTED FROM WITNESS NERI

NAPM/USPS-T4—5 Please refer to page 17 of your testimony where you state, "[i]ncreased tray densities and general capacity utilization would be expected along with improved labor efficiencies."

e. Please confirm whether the Postal Service will allow the use of Co-Palletization, or other move-mail/share-mail programs to enable multiple mailers or mail service providers to achieve greater densities. If confirmed please provide a detailed explanation of the proposed changes. If not confirmed, please explain fully why they will not be supported.

RESPONSE:

e) There is a Mailers' Technical Advisory Committee (MTAC) workgroup looking at issues surrounding the option of Co-Palletization for MLOCR/BCS logical mailers in a seamless environment. The Postal Service plans to work with the mailing industry to better understand the overall impact of Co-Palletization and other such initiatives and to develop programs to meet the needs of both the Postal Service and the mailers. See also the response to NAPM/USPS-T7—10(c).